

*Dear Editor,*

In regards to the "New development, new complaints" article in the last edition of this newspaper, which was about the proposed development at Pinehill and CR43, there was a lot of ink spent complaining about people not turning up to public meetings, but maybe they, like me, failed to notice anything in the NGT telling us about this meeting. That isn't to say that it didn't happen, I don't know, but could I suggest that there be a special area in your newspaper devoted to upcoming public meetings, so that those of us who are interested could be made aware? Local newspapers in communities that I have lived in before have done this, and I have always found this useful.

So, just like Reuben Crescent, this developer is asking for even more increased density, while balking at providing the

percentage of affordable housing that our official plan requires. I was wondering how long it would take the development industry to latch on to the fact that we now, after the debacle of the Reuben Crescent decision by our municipal council, appear to be open to whatever the developer asks and wants.

One odd thing that I have noted about this Council, is that they all appear to be of the same mind. I have never seen that before in any municipal council, as there usually are one or two members who see things differently. I believe that to be a good thing, as it facilitates the discussion of different viewpoints. I find this trait mildly disturbing; nobody questioning decisions.

I am also reminded of the proposed jail here in Kempville, where the Provincial government promised an open process. The process

has been anything but open, so citizens groups have had to go to bat for the community, at some considerable personal expense while our municipal council does nothing to hold Ford and Clark to their promises. Am I seeing a pattern here?

*Colin Creasey, Kempville*

Send in your  
letters to the  
editor to

[editor@ngtimes.ca](mailto:editor@ngtimes.ca)